

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/204,236	12/03/1998	GREGORY S. HAMILTON	AR218-X	5251	
29728	7590 10/24/2006		EXAMINER		
	D PHARMACEUTICAL	CHANG, CELIA C			
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 3000 K STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20007-5143			1625	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/204,236	HAMILTON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Celia Chang	1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status		·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	ugust 2006.					
		action is non-final.					
3)	'=						
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>90,92-99 and 101-111</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>97,98,106 and 107</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>90, 9296, 99, 101-105, 108-111</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	_						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
A pplicati	on Papers						
9)[7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,	1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 00 0.0.0. 3 110(a)	(4) 01 (1).				
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			age			
	application from the International Bureau			~ 9 °			
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application				
, ape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/204,236

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Amendment and response filed by applicants dated Aug. 27, 2006 have been entered and considered carefully.

Claims 1-89, 91, 100 have been canceled. Claims 97-98, 106-107 stayed withdrawn from consideration. Claims 90, 92-96, 99, 101-105, 108-111 are pending.

2. The rejection of claims 90, 92-96, 99, 101-105, 108-111 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph is maintained for reason of record.

Applicants argued that based on description and data of tables II and III, the claimed compounds were indicated to have in vivo activity in neuronal regeneration activity in mice. Please note that the claims are drawn to "method of treating a neurological disorder" which is not commensurate with the description and enabling support of the specification based on description of p. 22 and table II-III, that the compounds have activity to stimulate neuronal regeneration and growth in a mammal. Please note that treating "neurological disorder" must treat the disease while neuronal regeneration can provide the mammal with certain functional compensation resulted from loss of neuron, does not necessarily treat what is causing the disease or disorder (see p.38-39 increasing density of dopaminergic neurons only). It is recommended that the scope of the claims be commensurate with the description and enablement of the specification i.e. "a method of stimulating neuronal regeneration and growth in a mammal" having peripheral neuropathies caused by physical injury....etc. comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound of formula I.

3. Claims 90 and 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description as well as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention; and the claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 1625

In the specification on page 15, description of various salts of the compounds without any description of which compounds will form what solvates or with which solvents a solvate can form. The specification contains none of the compound, which is a solvate. While a pharmaceutical addition salt can be prepared routinely upon in possession of a acid or basic compound, the solvate formation is the innate nature of a compound upon contacting certain solvent. Without any description of what solvent will form solvate with which compound and completely silent of the existence of any solvate or hydrate, the specification offered mere language rather than possession or enablement of the solvates.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celia Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-0679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie, Ph. D., can be reached on 571-272-0670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OACS/Chang Oct. 18, 2006

Celia Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625